

239

Laws. Edwin Rice, John Leary, Jordan Edwards, Hardy Harris, John C. James, John Spencer, and George Sturley, who being sworn to try whether the defendant against the consent of the plaintiff holds possession of the tenement mentioned in the complaint filed in this cause, whether the said defendant hath so held possession thereof against the consent of the plaintiff for three years next before the exhibition of the said complaint. And whether the plaintiff hath the right of possession in the tenement aforesaid and to find a true verdict thereupon according to the evidence, and having heard the evidence upon their oath returned a verdict in the following words to wit: "We the jury find that the defendant did at the time of the exhibition of the complaint filed in this cause, hold possession of the tenement therein mentioned against the consent of the plaintiff, that the said defendant hath not so held possession thereof against the consent of the plaintiff for three years next before the exhibition of the said complaint: and that the plaintiff hath the right of possession in the tenement aforesaid."

Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant possession of the tenement aforesaid with full costs. From which judgment the defendant prayed an appeal to the first day of the next Superior Court of Law to be held for this County which is granted him he having given bond with security as the Law directs.

Memorandum. On the trial of this cause the defendant excepted to an opinion of the Court and filed his bill of exceptions which was received, signed and sealed by the Court and ordered to be made a part of the record in this cause.

The minutes of the foregoing proceedings were signed by
William Ricks & Wm E Daugherty

Teste James Ritchie, C. C.